

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge Mary K. Dimke
Richland

USA v. JERROD JUSTIN HALE

Case No. 4:21-CR-6008-SMJ-3

Video Conference
The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

Arraignment on Superseding Indictment:

04/28/2021

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Richard Barker, US Atty (video) |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tim Nguyen, Defense Atty (video) |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM
appearing by video from Benton County Jail | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |
-
- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed | <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court | |

REMARKS

Due to the current COVID-19 public health crisis, all parties including Defendant, appeared by video or teleconference.

Defendant appeared and was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: JERROD JUSTIN HALE.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

Oral order issued confirming the Government’s disclosure obligations under the Due Process Protections Act and the possible consequences of violation of said order. Written order to follow.

The Court ordered:

1. Matters involving detention have been previously heard and determined. Issue of detention not before the Court. Pre-existing Order of Detention will remain in full force.
2. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.